

# Sedex Members Ethical Trade Audit Report



			Audit [	Details	,		
Sedex Compar Reference: (only available o System)				Sedex Site R (only availab System)			
Business name name):	(Company			Ltd			
Site name:							
Site address: (Please include f	ull address)			Country:		Chino	а
Site contact an	nd job fitle:		min man	nager			
Site phone:				Site e-mail:			
SMETA Audit Pill	lars:	Standards Safe Env		Health & fety (plus vironment 2- ar)	Environment 4-pillar		☐ Business Ethics
Date of Audit:		July 31 2019					
Audi	Bureau Verita	E A U		ple	Report Owner and for by the cure as a remove for	istomei	r of the site
	4	Au	dit Cond	ducted By			
Affiliate Audit Company	$\boxtimes$	Purchase	er		Retailer		
Brand owner		NGO			Trade U	nion	

Multi-

stakeholder

Combined Audit (select all that apply)

Audit company: Bureau Veritas

Report reference:

### **Audit Content:**

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

#### 2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
  - Universal rights covering UNGP
  - Management systems and code implementation,
  - Responsible Recruitment
  - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
  - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

#### **4-Pillar SMETA**

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

#### **SMETA Declaration**

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Nicole Xiona APSCA number: 21701292

Lead auditor APSCA status: Registered

Team auditor: N/A APSCA number: N/A

Interviewers: Nicole Xiong APSCA number: 21701292

Report writer: Nicole Xiong Report reviewer: Alex Jiang

Date of declaration: July 31 2019

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post—audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



## **Summary of Findings**

Issue (please click on the issue title to go direct to the appropriate audit results by clause)		Area of Non-Conformity (Only check box when there is a non- conformity, and only in the box/es where the non-conformity can be found)				Record the number of issues by line*:			Findings (note to auditor, summarise in as few words as possible NCs, Obs and GE)	
	Note to auditor, please ensure that when issuing the audit report, hyperlinks are retained.		Local Law	Additional Elements	Customer Code	NC	Obs	GE		
0A	Universal Rights covering UNGP						0	0	Nii	
ОВ	Management systems and code implementation					0	0	0	• Nil	
1.	Freely chosen Employment					0	0	0	• Nil	
2	Freedom of Association					0	0	0	• Nil	
3	Safety and Hygienic Conditions					4	0	0	No pulley guard and no eye protective devices No content label Not wearing provided earplugs No privacy door	
4	Child Labour					0	0	0	Nil	
5	Living Wages and Benefits					1	0	0	<ul> <li>Insufficient social insurance</li> </ul>	
6	Working Hours	$\boxtimes$				1	0	0	<ul> <li>Overtime hours exceed limits</li> </ul>	
7	<u>Discrimination</u>					0	0	0	= Nil	

8	Regular Employment					0	0	0	- Nil
8A	Sub-Contracting and Homeworking					0	0	0	- Nil
9	Harsh or Inhumane Treatment					0	0	0	= Nil
10A	Entitlement to Work					0	0	0	• Nil
10B2	Environment 2-Pillar					0	0	0	= Nil
10B4	Environment 4-Pillar					N/A	N/A	N/A	• N/A
10C	Business Ethics					N/A	N/A	N/A	= N/A
Gene	ral observations and summary of t	he site:							
The for Worke Health regular The for Overt There age, a	arly conducted. And regular H&S to acility forbids the child labour. The time is voluntary in the factory. The	or involunto e access to shed to ens raining are youngest e maximum pensation, a exual orient	ary prison lo carry out ure the saf conducte mployee ir total week access to t ation and	abour.  Itheir represer  Itheir represer  Itheir represer  Itheir amploy  Itheir facility i  Itheir are t  Itheir	ntative funic working ees. s 21 years 54 hours contion, ten	nctions in g enviror old. and work	n the fac nment ir ers are p	cility. In the faci	e with the Code.  lity. Fire safety checks and electrical checks are with at least one day off in every 7-day period. used on race, caste, national origin, religion,

\*Please note the table above records the total number of Non-compliances (NC), Observations (Obs) and Good Examples (GE). This gives the reviewer an indication of problem areas but does not detail severities of each issue – Reviewers need to check audit results by clause.

## **Site Details**

	Site Details				
A: Company Name:		Ltd	- L.		
B: Site name:		Ltd			
C: GPS location: (If available)	GPS Address:	Latitud Longii Fujian			
D: Applicable business and other legally required licence numbers and documents, for example, business license number, liability insurance, any other required government inspections	Business licence number: , which valid from July 11, 2006 to July 10, 2036				
E: Products/Activities at site, for example, garment manufacture, electricals, toys, grower, cutting, sewing, packing etc	Bags				
F: Site description: (Include size, location, and age of site. Also, include structure and number of buildings)	storey production I	rent the 3rd floor an ouilding from Ltd. Lease contract	, Fujian, d the 4th floor of one 4- and separate business The 1st floor and the Remark, if any		
	Building no	Warehouse used			
	Floor 1	by the owner	by the owner		
	Floor 2	Workshop used by the owner	Workshop used by the owner		
	Floor 3	Sewing workshop office, inspecting and packing			
	Floor 4	Cutting worksho warehouse	o, Nil		
	Is this a shared building?	Yes	Nil		
	2nd floor were used The total construct 2,400 square meter There were total 39 factory, which inclusive production employ ran one shift. All en break from 12:00 to	on area of the audits.  employees were coudes 31 production of vees. Per management ployees worked from 14:00. All employees following month. Pe	orkshop and warehouse. Fed factory was about  wrently working in the employees and 8 non- ent interview, employees on 8:00 to 18:00 with es were paid at hourly or factory management,		

	For below, please add any extra rows if appropriate.  F1: Visible structural integrity issues (large cracks) observed?  Yes  No F2: Please give details: The production building was structural safety, no crack was observed.  F3: Does the site have a structural engineer evaluation?  Yes  No F4: Please give details: The report of inspection for completed building construction project and the certificate of inspection for completed building construction project were provided for review
G: Site function:	Agent Factory Processing/Manufacturer Finished Product Supplier Grower Homeworker Labour Provider Pack House Primary Producer Service Provider Supplier
H: Month(s) of peak season: (if applicable)	Not obvious
I: Process overview: (Include products being produced, main operations, number of production lines, main equipment used)	The main product was bags, the production processes were including cutting, sewing, inspecting and packing. The main equipment were cutting machines, sewing machines, packing machines and etc.
J: What form of worker representation / union is there on site?	☐ Union (name) ☑ Worker Committee ☐ Other (specify) ☐ None
K: Is there any night production work at the site?	☐ Yes ☑ No
L: Are there any on site provided worker accommodation buildings e.g. dormitories	Yes No L1: If yes, approx. % of workers in on site accommodation
M: Are there any off site provided worker accommodation buildings	Yes No M1: If yes, approx. % of workers



Audit company: Bureau Veritas

Report reference:

Audit Parameters							
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time Day 1 Time			ime in: N/A ime out: N/A		3 Time in: N/A 3 Time out: N/A	
B: Number of auditor days used:	One audito	or in one day					
C: Audit type:	Partial C	ow-up ollow-Up					
D: Was the audit announced?	Semi – c	☐ Announced ☐ Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks ☐ Unannounced					
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why r	not				=[	
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?		se capture d s not aware c		propriate audit l	oy claus	se	
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)		Admin mar	nager				
H: Is further information available (If yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No						
I: Previous audit date:	N/A						
J: Previous audit type:	N/A						
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ ☐ N/A	No					
Audit attendance		Managen	nent	Worker Repre	sentativ	ves	
		Senior managen		Worker Comm		Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening	meeting?	⊠ Yes □	No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	0	☐ Yes ⊠ No	



B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	Busy at work		
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	No union set up in the factory		

Audit company: Bureau Veritas

Report reference:



## **Worker Analysis**

The term "migrant worker" refers to a person who is engaged or has been engaged in a remunerated activity in a country of which they are not a national or permanent resident or has purposely migrated on a temporary basis to another in-country region to seek and engage in a remunerated activity.

	Worker Analysis							
	Local				Migrant*		Total	
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Permanent Temporary Agency Home wa		Home workers	
Worker numbers – Male	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	11
Worker numbers – female	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	20
Total	20	0	0	11	0	0	0	31
Number of Workers interviewed – male	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
Number of Workers interviewed – female	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	6
Total – interviewed sample size	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	10



A: Nationality of Management	Chinese					
B: Please list the nationalities of all workers, with the three most common nationalities listed first.  Please add more nationalities as applicable to site. Add more rows If required,	Nationalities: B1: Nationality 1: Chinese B2: Nationality 2: B3: Nationality 3:	Was the list completed during peak season?  Yes No  If no, please describe how this may vary during peak periods: N/A No obvious peak month in the factory				
C: Please provide more information for the three most common nationalities.	C: approx % total workforce: Nationality 11 C1: approx % total workforce: Nationality 2 C2: approx % total workforce: Nationality 3					
D: Worker remuneration (management information)	D:% workers on piece rate D1:100% hourly paid workers D2:% salaried workers  Payment cycle: D3:% daily paid D4:% weekly paid D5:100% monthly paid D6:% other D7: If other, please give details					



Worker Interview Summary					
A: Were workers aware of the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No				
B: Were workers aware of the code?	⊠ Yes □ No				
C: Number of group interviews; (Please specify number and size of groups. Please see SMETA Best Practice Guidance and Measurement Criteria. If the auditor was not able to follow the BPG, please state within the declaration)	1 group of 4				
D: Number of individual interviews (Please see SMETA Best Practice Guidance and Measurement Criteria)	D1: Male: 3 D2: Female				
E: All groups of workers are included in the scope of this audit such as; Direct employees, Casual and agency workers, Workers employed by service providers such as security and catering staff as well as workers supplied by other contractors.  Note to auditor: please record details of migrant /agency/contractor workers in section 8 – Regular Employment, under Responsible Recruitment	∑ Yes ☐ No  If no, please give details				
F: Interviews were done in private and the confidentiality of the interview process was communicated to the workers?	⊠ Yes □ No				
G: In general, what was the attitude of the workers towards their workplace?	☐ Favourable ☐ Non-favourable ☑ Indifferent				
H: What was the most common worker complaint?	Nothing to report				
I: What did the workers like the most about working at this site?	The manner of the own	er was kind.			
J: Any additional comment(s) regarding interviews:	Nothing to report				
K: Attitude of workers to hours worked:	Favourable				
L. Is there any worker survey information available?					
☐ Yes ☑ No L1: If yes, please give details:					
M: Attitude of workers: (Include their attitude to management, workplace, and the interview pro included) Note: Do not document any information that could put workers		information should be			
Confidential private interview were conducted with 10 em	and the state of t	4 in 1 group) from			

different workshops and were interviewed in private room on site. During interview, all sampled employees' attitudes were positive towards the factory management. No compliant was raised regarding the facility during the interview.

#### N: Attitude of worker's committee/union reps:

(Include their attitude to management, workplace, and the interview process. Both positive and negative information should be included). Note: Do not document any information that could put workers at risk

The working condition was clean and well ventilated. No harsh or inhumane implementation and discrimination reported during this audit.

#### O: Attitude of managers:

(Include attitude to audit, and audit process. Both positive and negative information should be included)

The managers were cooperative and professional during the audit; auditors were allowed full access to all required documents, worker interview and factory tour, and factory management agreed with the non-compliance findings.

## **Audit Results by Clause**

#### 0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP

(Click here to return to summary of findings)

#### 0.A. Guidance for Observations

- 0.A.1 Businesses should have a policy, endorsed at the highest level, covering human rights impacts and issues, and ensure it is communicated to all appropriate parties, including its own suppliers.
- 0.A.2 Businesses should have a designated person responsible for implementing standards concerning Human rights
- 0.A.3 Businesses shall identify their stakeholders and salient issues.
- 0.A.4 Businesses shall measure their direct, indirect, and potential impacts on stakeholders (rights holders) human rights.
- 0.A.5 Where businesses have an adverse impact on human rights within any of their stakeholders, they shall address these issues and enable effective remediation.
- 0.A.6 Businesses shall have a transparent system in place for confidentially reporting, and dealing with human rights impacts without fear of reprisals towards the reporter.

Note for auditors and readers. This is not a full Human Rights Assessment, but instead a check on the business's implementation of processes to meet their Universal rights covering UNGP responsibilities.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is /are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

- 1. Factory had established policy covering human rights impacts and issues.
- 2. Factory had a designated Admin manager was responsible for implementing standards concerning Human rights
- 3. Facility have a policy which require labour standards of its own suppliers and asked them to countersign and sent back to factory, making sure that it is communicated to all appropriate parties and they are also focus on the human rights.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

#### Details:

web site with human rights statement, and employee handbook, some anonymous grievance material from the confidential e mail

Any other comments:

A: Policy statement that expresses commitment to respect human rights?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>A1: Please give details:</li> <li>The factory had established policy about the human right and social responsibility and posted it in the bulletin board of factory.</li> </ul>		
B: Does the business have a designated person responsible for implementing standards concerning Human Rights?			
C: Does the business have a transparent system in place for confidentially reporting, and dealing with human rights impacts without fear of reprisals towards the reporter?			
D: Does the grievance mechanism meet UNGP expectations? (Legitimate, Accessible, Predictable, Equitable, Transparent, Rightscompatible, a source of continuous learning and based on stakeholder engagement)			
E: Does the business demonstrate effective data privacy procedures for workers' information, which is implemented?	∑ Yes     ☐ No     E1: Please give details:  Policy and training had held in factory and the relevant document was provided for review.		
	indings		
Finding: Observation  Company NC Description of observation:	observed:		
None observed	Not applicable		
Good exa	mples observed:		
Description of Good Example (GE): None observed	Objective Evidence Observed: Not applicable		



# **Measuring Workplace Impact**

Workplace Impact		
A: Annual worker turnover:  Number of workers leaving in last 12 months as a % of average total number of workers on site over the year (annual worker turnover)	A1: Last year: 2018 10 %	A2: This year 2019 10%
B: Current % quarterly (90 days) turnover: Number of workers leaving from the first day of the 90 days period through to the last day of the 90 day period / [(number of employees on the 1# day of 90 day period + number of employees on the last day of the 90 day period) / 2]	5%	
C: Annual % absenteeism:  Number of days lost through job absence in the year /  [(number of employees on 1st day of the year + number employees on the last day of the year) / 2]  * number available workdays in the year	C1: Last year: 2018 _0 %	C2: This year 2019 0_%
D: Quarterly (90 days) % absenteeism:  Number of days lost through job absence in the period /  [(Number of employees on 1st of the period + Number of employees on the last day of the period) / 2]  * Number of available workdays in the month	0%	
E: Are accidents recorded?	<ul> <li>         ∑ Yes         ☐ No         E1: Please describe: The factory had created screen preparing to record accidents if happening     </li> </ul>	
F: Annual Number of work related accidents and injuries per 100 workers: [(Number of work related accidents and injuries * 100) / Number of total worke rs]	F1: Last year: 2018 Number: 0	F2: This year: 2019 Number: 0
G: Quarterly (90 days) number of work related accidents and injuries per 100 workers: [(Number of work related accidents and injuries * 100) / Number of total workers]	0	
H: Lost day work cases per 100 workers: [(Number of lost days due to work accidents and work related injuries * 100) / Number of total workers]	H1: Last year: 0	H2: This year: 0
l:% of workers that work on average more than 48 standard hours / week in the last 6 / 12 months:	I1: 6 months0% workers	I2: 12 months0% workers
J: % of workers that work on average more than 60 total hours / week in the	J1: 6 months _0% workers	J2: 12 months0% workers

last 6 / 12 months:	

Audit company: Bureau Veritas

Report reference:

#### **OB: Management system and Code Implementation**

(Click here to return to summary of findings)

0.B.1 Suppliers are expected to implement and maintain systems for delivering compliance to this Code. 0.B.2 Suppliers are expected to be operating legally in premises with the correct business licenses and permissions and to have systems to ensure that all relevant land rights have been complied with 0.B.3 Suppliers shall appoint a senior member of management who shall be responsible for compliance with the Code.

0.B.4 Suppliers are expected to communicate this Code to all employees.

0.B.5 Suppliers should communicate this code to their own suppliers and, where reasonably practicable, extend the principles of this Ethical Code through their supply chain.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

During this audit, auditors crosschecked attendance records provided by management with related production records collected from workshops and interview 10 sample workers. No inconsistency was noted.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

#### Details:

The auditors checked the Statutory Documents such as Business registration certificates, Local minimum wage notice, Labour contracts, Payment receipt of social insurance schemes, Fire prevention certification, Work permits for special & dangerous operations, etc; Non-Statutory Documents such as Employment registration records, Fire drill records, Floor plan, Employee handbook, Factory regulations, First aid certificates, Training records, etc and Production Records such as daily production output reports, product inspection records, broken needle records, material in & out records in warehouse etc.

Any	other	comments:	
Nil			

Management Systems:		
A: In the last 12 months, has the site been subject to any fines/prosecutions for non–compliance to any regulations?	☐ Yes ☐ No A1: Please give details: No fine in the factory.	
B: Do policies and/or procedures exist that reduce the risk of forced labour, child labour, discrimination, harassment & abuse?	Yes No B1: Please give details: Sufficient procedures had been established to prevent forced labour, child labour, discrimination, harassment and abuse.	



C: If Yes, is there evidence (an indication) of effective implementation? Please give details.	Sufficient procedures had been established to prevent forced labour, child labour, discrimination, harassment and abuse.
D: Have managers and workers received training in the standards for forced labour, child labour, discrimination, harassment & abuse?	Yes No D1: Please give details: Managers and worker have received training in the standards for forced labour, child labour, discrimination, harassment & abuse.
E: If Yes, is there evidence (an indication) that training has been effective e.g. training records etc.? Please give details	Yes No E1: Please give details: Yes. There were records on the training of the social responsibility standard.
F: Does the site have any internationally recognised system certifications e.g. ISO 9000, 14000, OHSAS 18000, SA8000 (or other social audits).  Please detail (Number and date).	☐ Yes ☑ No F1: Please give details: No any international certificate obtained
G: Is there a Human Resources manager/department? If Yes, please detail.	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>G1: Please give details:</li><li>HR</li></ul>
H: Is there a senior person / manager responsible for implementation of the code	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>H1: Please give details:</li> <li>■ Admin manager</li> </ul>
I: Is there a policy to ensure all worker information is confidential?	Yes No I1: Please give details: The recruitment and confidential policy was established and suggestion box existed in factory.
J: Is there an effective procedure to ensure confidential information is kept confidential?	Yes No J1: Please give details: The recruitment and confidential policy was established and suggestion box was set in a safety place
K: Are risk assessments conducted to evaluate policy and procedure effectiveness?	☐ Yes ☐ No K1: Please give details: Risk assessments had been conducted, internal audit records had been provided for review
L: Does the facility have a process to address issues found when conducting risk assessments, including implementation of controls to reduce identified risks?	Yes No L1Please give details: Admin manager was in charge of this

M: Does the facility have a policy/code which require labour standards of its own suppliers?	☐ Yes ☐ No M1: Please give details: The factory had sent the code to their supplier and asked them to countersign and sent back to factory.	
Land rig	hts	
N: Does the site have all required land rights licenses and permissions (see SMETA Measurement Criteria)?	∑ Yes     ☐ No     N1: Please give details:     Land right certificate was provided for review.	
O: Does the site have systems in place to conduct legal due diligence to recognize and apply national laws and practices relating to land title?	∑ Yes     ☐ No     O1: Please give details: There was a policy onsite about collecting laws.	
P: Does the site have a written policy and procedures specific to land rights.  If yes, does it include any due diligence the company will undertake to obtain free, prior and informed consent, (FPIC) even if national/local law does not require it	Yes No P1: If yes, how does the company obtain FPIC: N/A	
Q: Is there evidence that facility / site compensated the owner/lessor for the land prior to the facility being built or expanded.	∑ Yes     ☐ No     Q1: Please give details: Relevant documents     were provided for review.	
R. Does the facility demonstrate that alternatives to a specific land acquisition were considered to avoid or minimize adverse impacts?	☐ Yes ☐ No R1: Please give details: Not applicable Facility had no right of land acquisition in China.	
S: Is There any evidence of illegal appropriation of land for facility building or expansion of footprint.	☐ Yes ☑ No S1: Please give details: No such issue was noted.	
Non-com	pliance:	
1. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI/Additional Elements  NC against customer code:  None observed	Objective evidence observed: Not applicable	



Observa	tion:
<b>Description of observation:</b> None observed	Objective evidence observed:
	Not applicable

Good Examples obs	erved:
Description of Good Example (GE):	Objective evidence observed:
None observed	Not applicable

#### 1: Freely Chosen Employment

(Click here to return to summary of findings)

#### ETI

1.1 There is no forced, bonded or involuntary prison labour.

1.2 Workers are not required to lodge "deposits" or their identity papers with their employer and are free to leave their employer after reasonable notice.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code, Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

The factory established a policy to ensure employment is freely chosen. The policy includes the following clause:

- 1. The factory does not require deposit or withhold employees' ID cards.
- 2. The factory does not limit the employees' freedom.
- 3. There is no forced, bonded or involuntary prison labour.
- 4. Employees are free to leave their employer after reasonable notice.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

Personnel files

- Resignation records
- Factory rules
- Employee handbook

Any other comments:

A: Is there any evidence of retention of original documents, e.g. passports/ID's	☐ Yes ☐ No A1: If yes, please give details and category of workers affected:
B: Is there any evidence of a loan scheme in operation	☐ Yes ☑ No B1: If yes, please give details and category of worker affected:
C: Is there any evidence of retention of wages /deposits	☐ Yes ☐ No C1: If yes, please give details and category of worker affected:
D: Are there any restrictions on workers' freedom to terminate	☐ Yes ☐ No

employment?	D1: Please describe finding:	
E: If any part of the business is UK based or registered there & has a turnover over £36m, is there a published a 'modern day slavery statement?	Yes No Not applicable E1: Please describe finding:	
F: Is there evidence of any restrictions on workers' freedoms to leave the site at the end of the work day?	Yes No F1: Please describe finding:	
G: Does the site understand the risks of forced / trafficked / bonded labour in its supply chain	Yes No Not applicable G1: If yes, please give details and categorathe factory had sent the code to their sup countersign and sent back to factory.	
H: Is the site taking any steps taking to reduce the risk of forced / trafficked labour?	Yes No H1: Please describe finding: The factory he procedures to reduce the risk of forced /	
	Non-compliance:	
1. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI NC again code:  None Observed	st Local Law: 🗌 NC against customer	Objective evidence observed: Not Applicable
	Observation:	
<b>Description of observation:</b> None Observed		Objective evidence observed:  Not Applicable
	Good Examples observed:	

Description of Good Example (GE):	Objective evidence observed:
None Observed	Not Applicable

#### 2: Freedom of Association and Right to Collective Bargaining are Respected

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
(Click here to return to Key Information)

#### ETI

- 2.1 Workers, without distinction, have the right to join or form trade unions of their own choosing and to bargain collectively.
- 2.2 The employer adopts an open attitude towards the activities of trade unions and their organisational activities.
- 2.3 Workers' representatives are not discriminated against and have access to carry out their representative functions in the workplace.
- 2.4 Where the right to freedom of association and collective bargaining is restricted under law, the employer facilitates, and does not hinder, the development of parallel means for independent and free association and bargaining.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

There was a worker committee in the factory. Suggestion box was used for employees to put forward any idea. The right to freedom of association and collective bargaining was only restricted under law, the employer facilitates. According to workers interview, they were free to join organizations of their own choice, the factory management recognized and respected their rights to freedom of association and collective bargaining, and the factory were not subject to intimidation or harassment in the exercise of their right to join or to refrain from joining any organization.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details

Minutes of the works committee meeting

Site policy on freedom of association

Interview with workers

Interview with worker's committee members

Interview with managers

Any other comments:

A: What form of worker representation/union is there on site?	☐ Union (name)  ☑ Worker Committee ☐ Other (specify) ☐ None
B: Is it a legal requirement to have a union?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
C: Is it a legal requirement to have a	Yes



X No worker's committee? X Yes D: Is there any other form of No effective worker/management D1: Please give details: communication channel? (Other than union/worker committee e.g. H&S, suggestion box sexual harassment) D2: Is there evidence of free elections? Yes No X Yes E: Does the supplier provide No adequate facilities to allow the Union or committee to conduct E1: Please give details: Committee meeting records were provided related business? for review. F1: Is there evidence of free elections? F: Name of union and union N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A representative, if applicable: All employees could G1: Is there evidence of free elections? G: If there is no union, is there a use the suggestion box parallel means of consultation with and worker workers e.g. worker committees? representatives were voted in the factory. X Yes No H: Are all workers aware of who their Mr. Gong Haibin representatives are? X Yes ☐ No 1: Were worker representatives freely 11: Date of last election: April 1 2019 elected? J: Do workers know what topics can be raised with their representatives? X Yes ☐ No K: Were worker representatives/union If Yes, please state how many: 1 representatives interviewed? L: Please describe any evidence The last worker representative meeting was conducted on April 9 that union/worker's committee is 2019 and the topic was about workshop safety. effective? Specify date of last meeting; topics covered: how minutes were communicated etc. Yes No M: Are any workers covered by Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA)? If Yes, what percentage by trade M1: \_\_\_\_\_% workers covered by M2: % workers covered by Union CBA Union/worker representation worker rep CBA Yes M3: If Yes, does the Collective

Not applicable



Bargaining Agreement (CBA) include rates of pay?	☐ No Not applicable	
	Non-compliance:	
Description of non-compliance:     NC against ETI	nst Local Law 🔲 NC against customer	Objective evidence observed: Not applicable
	Observation:	
Description of observation:  None Observed		Objective evidence observed:  Not applicable
	Good Examples observed:	Ų.
Description of Good Example (GE):		Objective evidence observed:

None Observed

#### 3: Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
[Click here to return to Key Information]

#### ETI

- 3.1 A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.
- 3.2 Workers shall receive regular and recorded Health & Safety training, and such training shall be repeated for new or reassigned workers.
- 3.3 Access to clean toilet facilities and to potable water, and, if appropriate, sanitary facilities for food storage shall be provided.
- 3.4 Accommodation, where provided, shall be clean, safe, and meet the basic needs of the workers.
- 3.5 The company observing the code shall assign responsibility for Health & Safety to a senior management representative.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

The factory was well ventilated.

The factory maintained a comfortable temperature throughout work floors.

Drinkable water was available in workshops.

As evidenced by site tour, document checks and worker/mgmt, interviews.

Fire drill records were provided for review.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

Health and safety policy

Health and safety manual

Health and safety committee minutes

Training records and certificates

Fire equipment maintenance records

Fire drill records

Government licenses and checks on air quality and noise level

Building structure safety certificate

Trained first aider register

Accident reports

Potable water testing certificates

Interviews with H&S manager

Interviews with workers and H&S committee members

Any other comments:



A: Does the facility have general and occupational Health & Safety policies and procedures that are fit for purpose and are these communicated to workers?	Yes No A1: Please give details: Safety policies and procedures were established in worker's manual and factory had provided training for employees regularly.
B: Are the policies included in workers' manuals?	X Yes     No     B1: Please give details: Safety policies and procedures were established in worker's manual.
C: Are there any structural additions without required permits/inspections (e.g. floors added)?	☐ Yes ☐ No C1: Please give details: No such issue noted during the audit
D: Are visitors to the site informed on H&S and provided with personal protective equipment	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>D1: Please give details:</li> <li>When auditor toured the site, factory management was provided auditor with personal protective equipment before auditor entry the workshops.</li> </ul>
E: Is a medical room or medical facility provided for workers?  If yes, do the room(s) meet legal requirements and is the size/number of rooms suitable for the number of workers.	☐ Yes ☑ No E1: Please give details: No such requirement in China.
F: Is there a doctor or nurse on site or there is easy access to first aider/ trained medical aid?	☐ Yes ☐ No F1: Please give details:  There were sufficient first aiders and first aid kits were available in the factory
G: Where the facility provides worker transport - is it fit for purpose, safe, maintained and operated by competent persons e.g. buses and other vehicles?	☐ Yes ☑ No ☑ S1: Please give details: No worker transport was provided.
H: Is secure personal storage space provided for workers in their living space and is fit for purpose?	Yes No H1: Please give details: N/A No dormitory provided by the factory
I: Are H&S Risk assessments are conducted (including evaluating the arrangements for workers doing overtime e.g. driving after a long shift) and are there controls to reduce identified risk?	<ul> <li>         ∑ Yes         ☐ No     </li> <li>I1: Please give details:         The factory had conducted the H&amp;S risk assessments including evaluating the arrangements for workers doing overtime also they had made plans to reduce some identified risks.     </li> </ul>

J: Is the site meeting its legal obligations on environmental requirements including required permits for use and disposal of natural resources?	<ul> <li>         ∑ Yes         ☐ No         J1: Please give details:     </li> <li>         Environment permits were provided for review     </li> </ul>	
K: Is the site meeting its customer requirements on environmental standards, including the use of banned chemicals?	∑ Yes     ☐ No     K1: Please give details:     No banned chemical was used in factory.	

Non-compliance:	
1. Description of non-compliance:  ☐ NC against ETI ☐ NC against Local Law ☐ NC against customer code:  It was noted that 5 out of 10 sewing machines in use were not equipped with pulley guards, 4 out of 10 sewing machines in use were not equipped with eye protective devices.	1. Objective evidence observed: Per factory tour, please refer to NC photo No. 1, No. 2
Legal Requirement: In accordance with Article 6.1.6 of Code of Design of Manufacturing Equipment Safety and Hygiene, the exposed dangerous components or part of any transmission belts, rotational axis, transmission chain, coupling, belt wheel, gear, flying wheels, chain wheels, electric saw and others, which is within 2 meters height of the plane where the operator is operating such devices shall be equipped with safety devices.	
ETI requirement  A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.	
Recommended Corrective Action: It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all sewing machines are equipped with pulley guards and eye protective devices.	
Action by: Admin manager Time frame: 30 days	
2. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI NC against Local Law NC against customer code:  It was noted that 2 out of 10 machine oil containers in the factory were not posted with content labels.	2. Objective evidence observed: Per factory tour, please refer to NC photo No. 3
<b>Legal Requirement:</b> In accordance with Article 14 of the Regulation For Chemical Usage Safety in	

Work Place: (1) In case of transferring or loading the chemicals purchased into a new container, it is required to mark clearly the descriptions of these chemicals on the newly adopted container. As to those hazardous chemicals that have been transferred or loaded into a new container, it is necessary to stick a safety precautions mark on the new container. (2) The original safety precautions mark upon those containers that contain hazardous chemicals shall not be replaced before these containers have been cleansed.

#### ETI requirement

A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.

#### **Recommended Corrective Action:**

It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all chemicals stored or being used in the factory is posted with labels.

Action by: Admin manager

Time frame: 30 days

#### 3. Description of non-compliance:

It was noted that 1 out of 2 cutting employees did not wear provided earplugs.

#### Legal Requirement:

In accordance with Article 42 of Law of the People's Republic of China on Production Safety, business entities must provide their employees with labor protection products meeting the national or industry standards, and supervise and educate their employees on wearing or using such products in accordance with the rules of use.

#### ETI requirement

A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.

#### **Recommended Corrective Action:**

It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that necessary personal protective equipments are provided to relevant employees and measures are taken to ensure that employees use such personal protective equipment appropriately.

Action by: Admin manager

Time frame: 30 days

# 3. Objective evidence observed:

Per factory tour, please refer to NC photo No. 4

4. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI NC against Local Law NC against customer code:  It was noted that the stalls in the toilet located at the 4th floor were not equipped with private device such as doors.  ETI requirement  Access to clean toilet facilities and to potable water, and, if appropriate, sanitary facilities for food storage shall be provided.  Recommended Corrective Action:  It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all stalls in the factory's toilets are equipped with doors.  Action by:  Admin manager  Time frame: 30 days	4. Objective evidence observed: Per factory tour, please refer to NC photo No. 5
Observation:	
Description of observation:  None observed	Objective evidence observed:  Not applicable
Good Examples observed:	
Description of Good Example (GE):  None observed	Objective Evidence Observed: Not applicable

#### 4: Child Labour Shall Not Be Used

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
(Click here to return to Key Information)

#### ETI

- 4.1 There shall be no new recruitment of child labour.
- 4.2 Companies shall develop or participate in and contribute to policies and programmes which provide for the transition of any child found to be performing child labour to enable her or him to attend and remain in quality education until no longer a child.
- 4.3 Children and young persons under 18 shall not be employed at night or in hazardous conditions.
- 4.4 These policies and procedures shall conform to the provisions of the relevant ILO Standards.

#### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

#### **Current systems:**

- 1. The factory establishes a policy that will never employ and use any child labour under the age of 16 years old.
- 2. The factory will verify all workers' original ID cards at the time of recruitment and keep the photocopies of workers' ID cards in the personnel files.
- 3. Sufficient numbers of employees' personal files were provided for review. Each employee file includes a bio-data sheet, a recent photo and the age documentation, which is in the form of photocopied national identification card. The card lists the employee's name, household address and the date of birth.
- 4. The employees between 16 to 18 years old would be registered to the Department of labour.

Evidence examined – to support system description	(Documents examined & relevant comments. Include
renewal/expiry date where appropriate):	

Details:

Non-child policy review

Child labor recognized procedures. Child labor and young worker procedures

Management interview

Worker interview

Latest list of employees

Records of any health checks

Any other comments:

A: Legal age of employment:	16
B: Age of youngest worker found:	21
C: Are there children present on the work floor but not working at the time of audit?	☐ Yes ☑ No
D: % of under 18's at this site (of total	0 %

workers)		
E: Are workers under 18 subject to hazardous work assignments? [Go to clause 3 – Health and Safety]	☐ Yes ☑ No E1: If yes, give details	
	Non-compliance:	
Description of non–compliance:     NC against ETI  NC against code:  None Observed	Local Law 🔲 NC against customer	Objective evidence observed: Not Applicable
	Observation:	
Description of observation:  None Observed		Objective evidence observed:  Not Applicable
	Good Examples observed:	
Description of Good Example (GE):  None Observed		Objective Evidence Observed: Not Applicable

### 5: Living Wages are Paid

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
[Click here to return to Key information]

### ETI

- 5.1 Wages and benefits paid for a standard working week meet, at a minimum, national legal standards or industry benchmark standards, whichever is higher. In any event wages should always be enough to meet basic needs and to provide some discretionary income.
- 5.2 All workers shall be provided with written and understandable information about their employment conditions in respect to wages before they enter employment and about the particulars of their wages for the pay period concerned each time that they are paid.
- 5.3 Deductions from wages as a disciplinary measure shall not be permitted nor shall any deductions from wages not provided for by national law be permitted without the expressed permission of the worker concerned. All disciplinary measures should be recorded.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

Per the factory management stated that all employees were paid hourly rate. The auditors reviewed the payroll and attendance records of 10 samples from the most recent paid month June 2019, 10 samples from February 2019, 10 samples from October 2018. It was noted that the minimum wage paid to the employees were CNY 10.92 per hour, which met the local minimum wages requirement CNY 8.62 per hour or CNY 1500 per month since July 2017. All 30 sample employees were paid at 150% and 200% of the normal wages for the overtime working hours occurred on the normal days and weekend days. There was no overtime working hours occurred on the holidays.

According to the social insurance payment receipt provided by factory management, it was noted that only 5 out of 39 employees were provided with pension, accident and maternity insurance, only 4 out of 39 employees were provided with unemployment insurance, only 3 out of 39 employees were provided with medical insurance in June 2019. Remark: factory provided a commercial insurance to 29 employees valid from September 9 2018 to September 8 2019. Factory provided another commercial insurance to 10 employees valid from May 19 2019 to May 18 2020.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

Document review

Worker interview

Local and national laws

Wages and benefits policy

Local legal minimum wage documents

Payroll records of last 12 months

Leave records

Social insurance and payment receipts from the local labour department

Labour contracts for all employees (to examine agreed wage rates)

Resignation records

Payslips of all workers interviewed

Hours records to check hourly rates and any overtime premiums

Sedexglobal.com

Objective evidence

Per social insurance receipt

observed:

review.

Any other comments:		
130		

### Non-compliance:

### 1. Description of non-compliance:

 NC against Local Law □ NC against customer NC against ETI

It was noted that the factory's social insurance coverage was insufficient. According to the social insurance payment receipt provided by factory management, it was noted that only 5 out of 39 employees were provided with pension, accident and maternity insurance, only 4 out of 39 employees were provided with unemployment insurance, only 3 out of 39 employees were provided with medical insurance in June 2019. Remark: factory provided a commercial insurance to 29 employees valid from September 9 2018 to September 8 2019. Factory provided another commercial insurance to 10 employees valid from May 19 2019 to May 18 2020.

In accordance with Article 73 of the Labor Law of the People's Republic of China, employees shall, in accordance with the law, be entitled to social insurance benefits under the following circumstances: (1) retirements; (2) illness or injury; (3) disability caused by work-related injury or occupational disease; (4) unemployment; and (5) maternity.

The survivors of the insured laborers shall be entitled to subsidies for survivors in accordance with the law. The conditions and standards for laborers to enjoy social insurance benefits shall be stipulated by laws, rules and regulations. The social insurance amount that laborers and entitled to, must be timely paid in full amount.

### ETI requirement:

Wages and benefits paid for a standard working week meet, at a minimum, national legal standards or industry benchmark standards, whichever is higher. In any event wages should always be enough to meet basic needs and to provide some discretionary income.

### Recommended corrective action:

It is recommended that factory management adopt practices and controls to ensure that employees receive all of their statutory welfare entitlements.

Action by:

Admin manager

Time frame: 120 days

Observation:		
<b>Description of observation:</b> None observed	Objective evidence observed:	
	Not applicable	

-					
Good Examples observed:					
Description of Good Example (GE): None observed				Object Observ	
Summary Information		M			
Criteria		Local Law (Please state legal requirement)	Actual Site (Record results ago	e d site ainst the	Is this part of a Collective Bargaining Agreement?
A: Standard/Contracted work hours: (Maximum legal and actual required work excluding overtime, please state if possible week, and month)		Legal maximum: 40 hours per week	A1: 40 ho week	urs per	A2:  Yes  No Not applicable
B: Overtime hours: (Maximum legal and actual overtime hourstate if possible per day, week, and month		Legal maximum: 3 hours per day and 36 hours per month	B1: 2 hou day and hours per	62	B2:  Yes  No Not applicable
C: Wage for standard/contracted how (Minimum legal and actual minimum wag please state if possible per hr, day, week, o	e at site,	Legal minimum: CNY 1500 per month or CNY 8.62 per hour since July 2017	C1: CNY per hour	10.92	C2:  Yes  No Not applicable
D: Overtime wage: (Minimum legal and actual minimum overtime wage at site, please state if possible per hr, day, week, and month)		Legal minimum: 150% and 200% of the normal wages for the overtime working hours occurred on the normal days and weekend days	D1: 150% 200% of the normal working hoccurred normal dand weed	ne vages vertime nours on the ays	D2:  Yes  No Not applicable
<u>Lo</u>		es analysis: ourn to Key Information	<u>L</u>		
A: Were accurate records shown at the first request?	⊠ Yes □ No				
A1: If <b>No</b> , why not?	N/A				

Audit company: Bureau Veritas

Report reference:



B: Sample Size Checked (State number of worker records checked and from which weeks/months – should be current, peak, and random/low. Please see SMETA Best Practice Guidance and Measurement Criteria)	The auditors reviewed the payroll and attendance records of 10 samples from the most recent paid month June 2019, 10 samples from February 2019, 10 samples from October 2018			
C: Are there different legal minimum wage grades? If <b>Yes</b> , please specify all.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	~ =	C1: If <b>Yes</b> , pla	ease give details:
D: If there are different legal minimum grades, are all workers graded and paid correctly?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A		D1: If <b>No</b> , ple	ase give details:
E: For the lowest paid production workers, are wages paid for standard/contracted hours (excluding overtime) below or above the legal minimum?	☐ Below legal min ☐ Meet ☐ Above  E1: Lowest actual wages found: Note: fuently employees and please state hour / week / mon RMB 10.92 per hour		please state hour / week / month etc.	
F: Please indicate the breakdown of workforce per earnings:	F1:% of workforce earning under minimum wage F2:% of workforce earning minimum wage F3:100% of workforce earning above minimum wage			
G: Bonus Scheme found: Please specify details:	Bonus Scheme found: Note: type of employee (e.g. full time, temp, etc.) and please state which units e.g. /hour /week /month etc. No			
H: What deductions are required by law e.g. social insurance? Please state all types:	Social ins	surance a	nd tax	
I: Have these deductions been made?	☐ Yes ☑ No	deduc	ase list all ctions that been made.	N/A  Please describe: Social insurance and tax were submitted by the factory
		deduc	ase list all tions that <b>not</b> been	Social insurance     Tax  Please describe: Social insurance and tax were submitted by the factory
J: Were appropriate records available to verify hours of work and wages?	⊠ Yes □ No			



K: Were any inconsistencies found? (if yes describe nature)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	K1: Type  Poor record keeping Isolated incident Repeated occurrence:	
L: Do records reflect all time worked? (For instance, are workers asked to attend meetings before or after work but not paid for their time)	Yes     No     L1: Please give	details:	
M: Is there a defined living wage: This is <u>not normally</u> minimum legal wage. If answered yes, please state amount and source of info: Please see SMETA Best Practice Guidance and Measurement Criteria.	☐ Yes ☐ No M1: Please specify amount/time: N/A		
M2: If yes, what was the calculation method used.	☐ ISEAL/Anker Benchmarks ☐ Asia Floor Wage ☐ Figures provided by Unions ☐ Living Wage Foundation UK ☐ Fair Wear Wage Ladder ☐ Fairtrade Foundation Other – please give details: N/A		
N: Are there periodic reviews of wages? If Yes give details (include whether there is consideration to basic needs of workers plus discretionary income).	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>N1: Please give details: Factory adjusted minimum wage per local law</li> </ul>		
O: Are workers paid in a timely manner in line with local law?	∑ Yes □ No		
P: Is there evidence that equal rates are being paid for equal work:	<ul> <li>         ∑ Yes         ☐ No         P1: Please give details: The minimum wages were different among the sample employees were according to different working positions.     </li> </ul>		
Q: How are workers paid:	Cash     Cheque     Bank Transfer     Other     Q1: If other, please explain:		

### 6: Working Hours are not Excessive

[Click here to return to summary of findings]
[Click here to return to Key Information]

### ETI

- 6.1 Working hours must comply with national laws, collective agreements, and the provisions of 6.2 to 6.6 below, whichever affords the greater protection for workers. Sub-clauses 6.2 to 6.6 are based on international labour standards.
- 6.2 Working hours, excluding overtime, shall be defined by contract, and shall not exceed 48 hours per week.
- 6.3 All overtime shall be voluntary. Overtime shall be used responsibly, taking into account all the following: the extent, frequency and hours worked by individual workers and the workforce as a whole. It shall not be used to replace regular employment. Overtime shall always be compensated at a premium rate, which is recommended to be not less than 125% of the regular rate of pay.
- 6.4 The total hours worked in any 7-day period shall not exceed 60 hours, except where covered by clause 6.5 below.
- 6.5 Working hours may exceed 60 hours in any 7-day period only in exceptional circumstances where <u>all</u> of the following are met:
  - this is allowed by national law;
  - this is allowed by a collective agreement freely negotiated with a workers' organisation representing a significant portion of the workforce;
  - appropriate safeguards are taken to protect the workers' health and safety; and
  - The employer can demonstrate that exceptional circumstances apply such as unexpected production peaks, accidents or emergencies.
- 6.6 Workers shall be provided with at least one day off in every 7-day period or, where allowed by national law, 2 days off in every 14-day period.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

The auditors reviewed the attendance records of 10 samples from the most recent paid month June 2019, 10 samples from February 2019, 10 samples from October 2018. It was noted that the maximum overtime working hours for all 30 sample employees were 2 hour on the normal day, 14 hours per week and 62 hours per month. Further, the maximum weekly working hours for all 30 sample employees were 54 hours and the maximum consecutive days for them were 6 days in a month.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

Employee interview
Management interview
local and national laws
factory policy on working hours

time cards computerised time logging system sample pay slips with recorded hours all workers interviewed workers' contracts 12 months' hours records to establish highest and lowest hours over all employees Quality and production records to cross check hours	
Any other comments: Nil	

Non-compliance:	
1. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI NC against Local Law NC against customer code:  It was noted that 19 out of 30 sample population employees worked in excess of the statutory overtime hour limits.  A review of 30 sample population employees' time records (10 samples from the most recent paid month June 2019, 10 samples from February 2019, 10 samples from October 2018) yielded the following:  10 out of 10 sample population employees worked in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. 40 to 62 hours) in June 2019, which was not in compliance with the legal requirement;  9 out of 10 sample population employees worked in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. 56 hours) in October 2018, which was not in compliance with the legal requirement.	Objective evidence observed: Per attendance records review.
Local law: In accordance with Article 41 of the Labor Law of the PRC, after consultation with the trade union and employees, the employer may extend working hours due to its production or business needs, but the extended working hours shall not generally exceed one hour a day; in special circumstances that require an extension of working hours, the extended working hours shall not exceed 3 hours a day and 36 hours a month on condition that the health of employees is guaranteed.	
ETI requirement: Working hours must comply with national laws, collective agreements.	
Recommended corrective action: It is recommended that factory management adopt practices and controls to ensure that employee overtime hours do not exceed the statutory limits.	
Action by: Admin manager Time frame: 60 days	



		Observation:
<b>Description of observation</b> None observed	ı	Objective evidence observed:  Not applicable
	1.5	Good Examples observed:
Description of Good Exan None observed	nple (GE):	Objective Evidence Observed: Not applicable
	Please	Working hours' analysis include time e.g. hour/week/month [Go back to Key information]
Systems & Processes		
A. What timekeeping systems are used: time card etc.	Describe: Fin	gerprint system
B: Is sample size same as in wages section?	∑ Yes ☐ No B1: If no, ple	ase give details
C: Are standard/contracted working hours defined in all contracts/employment agreements?	⊠ Yes □ No	C1: If NO, please give details including % and which type of workers do NOT have standard hours defined in contracts/employment agreements. Please give details:
D: Are there any other types of	☐ Yes 🖂 No	D1: If YES, please complete as appropriate:
contracts/employment agreements used?	MNO	0 hrs Part time Variable hrs Other
		If "Other", Please define:
E. Do any standard/contracted working hours defined in	☐ Yes ☐ No	E1: If <b>yes</b> , please detail hours, %, types of workers affected and frequency Please give details:

contracts/employment agreements exceed 48 hours per week?					
F: Are workers provided with at least 1 day off in every 7-day-period, or 2 in 14-day-period?	F2: Please select all applicable:  1 in 7 days 2 in 14 days No If 'No', please explain:	F3: Is this allowed by local law?  ☐ Yes ☐ No			
	Maximum numb	er of days worked without a day off (in sample):			
	The maximum consecutive working days were 6 days.				
Standard/Contracted Ho	ours worked				
G: Were standard working hours over 48 hours per week found?	☐ Yes ☑ No	G1: If yes, % of workers & frequency:			
H: Any local waivers/local law or permissions which allow averaging/annualised hours for this site?	☐ Yes ☑ No	H1: If yes, please give details;			
Overtime Hours worked					
I: Actual overtime hours worked in sample (State per day/week/month)	2 hours/ day in F 2 hours/ day in C 14 hours/ week i 10 hours/ week i 14 hours/ week i 62 hours/ month 22 hours/ month	une 2019 (Current) ebruary 2019 October 2018  n June 2019 (Current) n February 2019			
J: Combined hours (standard or contracted + overtime hours = total) over 60 found? Please give details:	☐ Yes ☑ No				
K: Approximate percentage of total workers on highest	63%				

overtime hours:		
L: Is overtime voluntary?		L1: Please detail evidence e.g. Wording of contract / employment agreement / handbook / worker interviews / refusal arrangements:
Overtime Premiums		
M: Are the correct legal overtime premiums paid?	Yes No N/A - there is no legal requirement to OT premium	M1: Please give details of normal day overtime premium as a % of <u>standard</u> wages: 150% and 200% of the normal wages for the overtime working hours occurred on the normal days and weekend days
N: Is overtime paid at a premium?	⊠ Yes □ No	N1: If yes, please describe % of workers & frequency: 150% and 200% of the normal wages for the overtime working hours occurred on the normal days and weekend days
O: If the site pays less than 125% OT premium and this is allowed under local law, are there other considerations? Please complete the boxes where relevant.	no/low overtime p Collective Ba Other N/A	I pay (May be standard wages above minimum legal wage, with stremium) rgaining agreements sin any checked boxes above e.g. detail of consolidated pay /
	N/A	
P: If more than 60 total hours per week and this is legally allowed, are there other considerations? Please complete the	Safeguards a Site can dem	oluntary  tive bargaining allows 60+ hours/week re in place to protect worker's health and safety onstrate exceptional circumstances s (please specify)
boxes where relevant.	P1: Please explai CBA or other:	in any checked boxes above e.g. detail of consolidated pay /
	N/A	
Q: Is there evidence that overtime hours are being used for extended periods to make up for labour shortages or increased order volumes?	Yes No Q1: If yes, please No such issue no	

R: If sufficient workers cannot be hired, are new working time arrangements explored to ensure that overtime is	☐ Yes ☐ No	
the exception rather than the rule.		

### 7: No Discrimination is Practiced

(Click here to return to summary of findings)

### ETI

7.1 There is no discrimination in hiring, compensation, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, age, disability, gender, marital status, sexual orientation, union membership or political affiliation.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

According to factory regulations and the hiring procedures review, workers interview and factory tour, there is no discrimination in hiring, compensation, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, age, disability, gender, material status, sexual orientation, union membership or political affiliation

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

The hiring and termination procedure, leave application records and employee handbook.

Payrolls

Attendance records

Termination records Training records

Any other comments:

A: Gender breakdown of Management + Supervisors (Include as one combined group)	A1: Male:60 % A2: Female40_ %
B: Number of women who are in skilled or technical roles e.g. where specific qualifications are needed i.e. machine engineer / laboratory analyst:	3 supervisors
C: Is there any evidence of discrimination based on race, caste, national origin, religion, age, disability, gender, marital status, sexual orientation, union membership or political affiliation?:	Hiring Compensation Access to training Promotion Termination or retirement No evidence of discrimination found



	C1: Please give details: Not applicable	
Professional Development		
A: What type of training and development are available for workers?	Class training and onsite learning wa trainings had been provided to femoworkers.	
B: Are HR decisions e.g. promotion, training, compensation based on objective, transparent criteria?	Yes No	
	Non-compliance:	
1. Description of non-compliance:  NC against ETI NC against code:  None observed	Local Law	Objective evidence observed: Not applicable
	Observation:	
<b>Description of observation:</b> None observed		Objective evidence observed: Not applicable
	Good Examples observed:	v .
Description of Good Example (GE): None observed		Objective Evidence Observed: Not applicable

### 8: Regular Employment Is Provided

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
(Click here to return to Key Information)

### ETI

- 8.1 To every extent possible work performed must be on the basis of recognised employment relationship established through national law and practice.
- 8.2 Obligations to employees under labour or social security laws and regulations arising from the regular employment relationship shall not be avoided through the use of labour-only contracting, sub-contracting, or home-working arrangements, or through apprenticeship schemes where there is no real intent to impart skills or provide regular employment, nor shall any such obligations be avoided through the excessive use of fixed-term contracts of employment.

### Additional Elements: Responsible Recruitment

- 8.3 Suppliers have full understanding of the entire recruitment process and assess all labour recruiters and intermediaries against legal and/or ethical requirements.
- 8.4 There are effective management systems in place to identify and monitor the hiring and management of all migrant workers, contract workers, agency workers, temporary or casual labour The supplier shall implement processes to enable adequate control over agencies with regards the above points and related legislation.
- 8.5 Employment agencies must only supply workers registered with them.
- 8.6 Workers pay no recruitment fee at any stage of the recruitment process.
- 8.7 Worker contracts accurately reflect the agreed payment and terms in the recruitment process and are understood and signed by workers.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

The factory signed labour contracts with all workers to establish the employment relationship and confirm the rights and obligations of the employment conditions. According to worker interview, after signing contract, the factory gave a copy to them.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

The hiring and termination practises (not formalised)

Personal files

Payroll records were provided for review.

Contracts

Any other comments:

Nil

### Non-compliance:



Description of non-compliance:      NC against ETI     NC aga code:  None observed	inst Local Law 🔲 NC against customer	Objective evidence observed: Not applicable
	Observation:	
Description of observation:  None observed		Objective evidence observed: Not applicable
	Good Examples observed:	
Description of Good Example (GE):  None observed		Objective Evidence Observed: Not applicable
Responsible Recruitment		
All Workers		
A: Were all workers presented with terms of employment at the time of recruitment, did they understand them and are they same as current conditions?	<ul> <li>☐ Terms &amp; Conditions presented</li> <li>☐ Understood by workers</li> <li>☐ Same as actual conditions</li> <li>A1: If any are unchecked, please describe category(ies) of workers affected:</li> </ul>	finding and specific

B: Did workers' pay any fees,

recruitment/placement?

purpose of

taxes, deposits or bonds for the

Yes

affected:

B1: If yes, please describe details and specific category(ies) of workers

C: If yes, check all that apply:	Recruitment / hiring fees Service fees Application costs Recommendation fees Placement fees Administrative, overhead or processing fees Skills tests Certifications Medical screenings Passports/ID's Work / resident permits Birth certificates Police clearance fees Any transportation and lodging costs after employment offer Any transport costs between work place and home Any relocation costs after commencement of employment New hire training / orientation fees Medical exam fees Deposit bonds or other deposits Any other non-monetary assets Other —
D: If any checked, give details:	C1: If other, please give details:
country of which they are not a no	Migrant Workers:  a person who is engaged or has been engaged in a remunerated activity in a attional or permanent resident or has purposely migrated on a temporary basis to
A: Type of work undertaken by mi workers:	grant All processes
B: Please give details about recrui agencies for migrant workers:	tment B1: Total number of (in country recruitment agencies) used: 0  B2: Total number of (outside of local country) recruitment

A: Type of work undertaken by migrant workers:	All processes	
B: Please give details about recruitment agencies for migrant workers:	B1: Total number of (in country recruitment agencies) used: 0  B2: Total number of (outside of local country) recruitment agencies used: 0	
C: Are migrant workers' voluntary deductions (such as for remittances) confirmed in writing by the worker and is evidence of the transaction supplied by the facility to the worker?	Yes No C1: Please describe finding: N/A	C2: Observations:
D: Are Any migrant workers in skilled, technical, or management roles  Migrant Workers (this should include all migrant workers including permanent workers, temporary and/or seasonal workers)	<ul><li>X Yes</li><li>No</li><li>D1: If yes, number and</li><li>1 manager</li></ul>	d example of roles:



## **NON-EMPLOYEE WORKERS**

Recruitment Fees:	
A: Are there any fees?	☐ Yes ☐ No
B: If yes, check all that apply:	Recruitment / hiring fees Service fees Application costs Recommendation fees Placement fees Administrative, overhead or processing fees Skills tests Certifications Medical screenings Passports/ID's Work / resident permits Birth certificates Police clearance fees Any transportation and lodging costs after employment offer Any transport costs between work place and home Any relocation costs after commencement of employment New hire training / orientation fees Medical exam fees Deposit bonds or other deposits Any other non-monetary assets Other  B1 - If other, please give details:
C: If any checked, give details:	N/A

Agency Workers (if applicable)  (workers sourced from a local agent who are not directly paid by the site, but paid by the agency, Usually the agencies are paid by the site and the wages of the individual workers are paid by the agency.)		
A: Number of agencies used (average):	A1: Names if available: N/A	
B: Were agency workers' age / pay / hours included within the scope of this audit?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A	
C: Were sufficient documents for agency workers available for review?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A	
D: Is there a legal contract /	Yes	

agreement with all agencies?	□No	
	N/A	
	D1: Please give details:	
E: Does the site have a system for checking labour standards of agencies? If yes, please give details.	☐ Yes ☐ No E1: Please give details: N/A	

Contractors:  Note: contractors in this context are generally individuals who supply several workers to a site. Usually the contractors are paid by the site and the wages of the workers are paid by the contractor. Common terms include, gang bosses, labor provider,	
A: Any contractors on site?	Yes No A1: If yes, how many contractors are present, please give details: N/A
B: If <b>Yes</b> , how many workers supplied by contractors?	N/A
C: Do all contractor workers understand their terms of employment?	☐ Yes ☐ No C1: Please describe finding: N/A
D: If <b>Yes</b> , please give evidence for contractor workers being paid per law:	N/A

### 8A: Sub-Contracting and Homeworking

(Click here to return to summary of findings)
(Click here to return to Key Information)

8A.1 There should be no sub-contracting unless previously agreed with the main client.

8A.2 Systems and processes should be in place to manage sub-contracting, homeworking and external processing.

Note to auditor on homeworking:

Report on whether it is direct or via agents. How many workers, relationship with site and what control systems are in place.

Note to auditor on subcontracting: auditor should use this section for subcontractors of part made or wholly made finished goods, this section should not be used for raw material manufacturers unless instructed otherwise by customers

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

The site touring showed that all production processes were present. No sub-contracting was found in the factory.

Details:

Material in and out records. Management interview and employees' interview.

Non-compliance:	
Description of non-compliance:  None observed	Objective evidence observed:  Not Applicable

Objective evidence observed:
Not Applicable

Good Examples observed:	
Description of Good Example (GE):	Objective Evidence



None observed				Observed: Not Applicable
Su	mmary of sub-contr		able	
A: Has the auditor made a simple calculation to compare capacity with workers' work load in order to identify possible unrecorded work or undeclared sub-contracting	Yes No A1: Please describe:			
B: If sub-contractors are used, is there evidence this has been agreed with the main client?	Yes No B1: If <b>Yes</b> , summa	rise details:		
C: Number of sub- contractors/agents used:				
D: Is there a site policy on sub- contracting?	Yes No D1: If <b>Yes</b> , summa	rise details:		
E: What checks are in place to ensure no child labour is being used and work is safe?		9 1 9		
S	ummary of homewo		ble	
A: If homeworking is being used, is there evidence this has been agreed with the main client?	Yes No A1: If <b>Yes</b> , summa	rise details:		
B: Number of homeworkers	B1: Male: 0	B2: Femal	e: 0	Total: 0
C: Are homeworkers employed direct or through agents?	☐ Directly ☐ Through Agents N/A		C1: If through agents, number of agents:	
			N/A	
D: Is there a site policy on homeworking?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A			
E: How does the site ensure worker hours and pay meet local laws for homeworkers?	N/A			

F: What processes are carried out by homeworkers?	N/A	
G: Do any contracts exist for homeworkers?	☐ Yes ☐ No G1: Please give details: N/A	
H: Are full records of homeworkers available at the site?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A	



# 9: No Harsh or Inhumane Treatment is Allowed (Click here to return to summary of findings)

### ETI

9.1 Physical abuse or discipline, the threat of physical abuse, sexual or other harassment and verbal abuse or other forms of intimidation shall be prohibited.

Additional elements:

9.2 companies should provide access to a confidential grievance mechanism for all workers

A: Are there published, anonymous and/or open channels available for reporting any violations of Labour standards and H&S or any other grievances to a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party?	Yes No A1: Please give details: Per employees interview, they represented that the factory had set suggestion box and provided hot line for them to reporting any violations of Labour standards and H&S or any other grievances to a 3rd party.
B: If <b>Yes</b> , are workers aware of these channels and have access? Please give details.	Interviewed employees were aware the hot line number and the suggestion box.
C: If yes, what type of mechanism is used e.g. hotline, whistle blowing mechanism, comment box etc. Please give details.	Hot line and suggestion box
D: Which of the following groups is there a grievance mechanism in place for?	☐ Workers     ☐ Communities     ☐ Suppliers     ☐ Other  D1: Please give details: The factory had set suggestion box and provided hot line for workers and sent the code to their suppliers
E: Are there any open disputes?	Yes No E1: If yes, please give details
F: Does the site encourage its business partners (e.g. suppliers) to provide individuals and communities with access to effective grievance mechanisms (e.g. helplines or whistle blowing mechanism)	<ul><li> ☐ Yes</li><li>☐ No</li><li>F1: If no, please give details</li></ul>
G: Is there a published and transparent disciplinary procedure?	☐ Yes ☐ No  G1: If no, please explain

H: If yes, are workers aware of these the disciplinary procedure?  I: Does the disciplinary procedure allow for deductions from wages (fines) for disciplinary purposes (see wages section)?			
To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine understand, and record what controls and procedures are carried out, who is /are responsible documentary or Current systems:  The factory established anti-harsh or inhur discipline, the threat of physical abuse, se	nane treatment policy. The policy state xual or other harassment and verbal ab	detail physical abuse or other forms of	
intimidation shall be prohibited. Through the no physical abuse or discipline happened verbal warning and education.  Evidence examined – to support system defenewal/expiry date where appropriate):  Details:  Non harsh or Inhumane treatment proceed audit records review  Worker interview  Management interview	I in the factory and the disciplinary prodesses the factory and the factory and the disciplinary prodesses the factory and the facto	evant comments. Include	
Any other comments: Nil			
	Non-compliance:		
Description of non-compliance:  None Observed  Objective evider observed:  Not Applicable			
	Observation:		
Description of observation:  None Observed		Objective evidence observed:	

	Not Applicable
Good Examples ob	served:

### 10. Other Issue areas: 10A: Entitlement to Work and Immigration

[Click here to return to NC-table]

### **Additional Elements**

10A.1 Only workers with a legal right to work shall be employed or used by the supplier.

10A.2 All workers, including employment agency staff, must be validated by the supplier for their legal right to work by reviewing original documentation.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is/are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

Based on management interview and employee interview, it was noted that the factory recruited workers through poster at the factory gate and introduction of separated workers. Also they established the procedure for screening through checking the original identification certificates and other background information to ensure that employees without legal right to work were not recruited.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

Personnel files review and recruitment policy review. Management interview and employee interview

Any other comments:

Non-compliance:		
Description of non-compliance:  None Observed	Objective evidence observed: Not Applicable	

Observation:			
Description of observation:	Objective evidence observed:		
None Observed			
	Not Applicable		



Good examples observed:		
Description of Good Example (GE):	Objective Evidence Observed:	
None Observed	Not Applicable	



### 10. Other issue areas 10B2: Environment 2-Pillar

(Click here to return to summary of findings)

To be completed for a 2–Pillar SMETA Audit, and remove the following page which is 10B4 environment 4 pillar

10B2.1 Suppliers must comply with the requirements of local and international laws and regulations including having necessary permits.

10B2.2 The supplier should be aware of and comply with their end clients' environmental requirements. Note for auditors and readers, this is not a full environmental assessment but a check on basic systems and management approach.

### **Current Systems and Evidence Examined**

To complete 'current systems' Auditors' examine policies and written procedures in conjunction with relevant managers, to understand, and record what controls and processes are currently in place e.g. record what policies are in place, what relevant procedures are carried out, who is /are responsible for the management of this item of the code. Evidence checked should detail any documentary or verbal evidence shown to support the systems.

### **Current systems:**

The annual monitoring report for waste air and water showed the pollutant discharging was compliant with environmental law. Based on observation on site, wastes were classified and collected on site Based on worker's interview, they were trained on environmental protection.

Evidence examined – to support system description (Documents examined & relevant comments. Include renewal/expiry date where appropriate):

Details:

All legally required environmental documents were provided for review.

Environmental policy

Worker and management interview.

Site tour

Any other comments:

Non-compliance:		
Objective evidence observed:		
Not applicable		

Observa	tion:
Description of observation:	Objective evidence observed:
None observed	Not applicable

Good examples observed:		
Description of Good Example (GE):	Objective Evidence Observed:	
None observed	Not applicable	



Other findings

### Other Findings Outside the Scope of the Code

Nil

### **Community Benefits**

(Please list below any specific community benefits that the site management stated that they were involved in, for example, HIV programme, education, sports facilities)



# **Photo Form**



Factory name and factory gate



Factory name and factory gate on the floor



Factory address



Sewing workshop



Cutting workshop



Warehouse



Fire hydrant



Fire extinguishers



Fire alarm button









Fire alarm

Safety exit sign and emergency light

Evacuation map







First aid kit

Suggestion box

Time keeping machine





Drinkable water

**Toilets** 

Nil

# **Noncompliance Photo**



NC photo No. 1 No pulley guard



NC photo No. 2 No eye protective device



NC photo No. 3 No content label



NC photo No. 4 Not wearing provided earplugs



NC photo No. 5 No privacy door



For more information visit: Sedexalobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

### Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw\_3d\_3d

### Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY\_2brg\_3d\_3d

### Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP



# SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



<b>1</b>			Audit Det	ails			
Sedex Compar Reference: (only available of System)	00		6	Sedex Site Reference; (only available on Sedex System)			
Business name name):	(Company		Ltd				
Site name:				Ltd			
Site address: (Please include t	full address)			Country:		China	
Site contact ar	nd job title:		min manag	er			
Site phone:			S	ite e-mail:			
SMETA Audit Pi	llars:	∑ Labour Standards	Safety			ment	☐ Business Ethics
Date of Audit:		July 31 2019					
Aud	Bureau Ver	Name & Logo: itas CPS			Report Own aid for by the c case remove fo	ustomer	of the site
		Au	dit Conduct	ted By			
Affiliate Audit Company		Purchase	er		Retailer		
Brand owner		NGO		)	Trade U	nion	
Multi– stakeholder			Co	mbined A	udit (select al	I that a	ipply)

# **Audit Content:**

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

### 2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- FTI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
  - Universal rights covering UNGP
  - · Management systems and code implementation,
  - Responsible Recruitment
  - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
  - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

## 4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

# **SMETA Declaration**

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Nicole Xiong

Team auditor: N/A

Interviewers: Nicole Xiong

Report writer: Nicole Xiong Report reviewer: Alex Jiang

Date of declaration: July 31 2019

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post—audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



# **Audit Parameters**

	Audit Parameters				
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 9:00 Day 1 Time out: 17:00 Day 2 Time in: N/A Day 3 N/A Day 3 N/A Day 3				
B: Number of auditor days used:	One auditor in one do	у			
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define:				
D: Was the audit announced?	□ Announced     □ Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks     □ Unannounced				
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	☐ Yes ☐ No If No, why not				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	☐ Yes ☐ No If <b>Yes</b> , please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause The site was not aware of SAQ				
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Admin m	anager			
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☐ No				
I: Previous audit date:	N/A				
J: Previous audit type:	N/A				
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A				
Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives			
	Senior management	Worker Committee Ur	nion representativ		

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives		
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives	

Sedev Audit Reference

A: Present at the opening meeting?		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	Busy at work			
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	No union set up in the	factory		

# Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

## Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

## **Next Steps:**

- The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site <a href="https://www.sedexglobal.com">www.sedexglobal.com</a>.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the
  audit body verify its actions. Please visit <a href="www.sedexglobal.com">www.sedexglobal.com</a> web site for information on how to
  do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

Sedexglobal.com

# **Corrective Action Plan**

Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances									
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non- compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
Safety and Hygienic Conditions 1		It was noted that 5 out of 10 sewing machines in use were not equipped with pulley guards, 4 out of 10 sewing machines in use were not equipped with eye protective devices.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all sewing machines are equipped with pulley guards and eye protective devices.	30 days	Desktop	Yes. Admin manager		
Safety and Hygienic Conditions 2		It was noted that 2 out of 10 machine oil containers in the factory were not posted with content labels.	□ Training     □ Systems     □ Costs     □ lack of workers     □ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all chemicals stored or being used in the factory is posted with labels.	30 days	Desktop	Yes. Admin manager		
Safety and Hygienic Conditions 3		It was noted that 1 out of 2 cutting employees did not wear provided	☐ Systems☐ Costs	It is recommended that management adopt practices	30 days	Desktop	Yes. Admin manager		

Date: 31/07/2019

Audit company: Bureau Veritas

	earplugs.	☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	and controls to ensure that necessary personal protective equipments are provided to relevant employees and measures are taken to ensure that employees use such personal protective equipment appropriately.				
Safety and Hygienic Conditions 4	It was noted that the stalls in the toilet located at the 4th floor were not equipped with private device such as doors.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all stalls in the factory's toilets are equipped with doors.	30 days	Desktop	Yes. Admin manager	
Wages and Benefits No.	It was noted that the factory's social insurance coverage was insufficient. According to the social insurance payment receipt provided by factory management, it was noted that only 5 out of 39 employees were provided with pension, accident and maternity insurance, only 4 out of 39 employees were provided with unemployment insurance, only 3 out of 39 employees were	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that factory management adopt practices and controls to ensure that employees receive all of their statutory welfare entitlements.	120 days	Desktop	Yes. Admin manager	

SMETA Sedex Audit Reference:

	provided with medical insurance in June 2019. Remark: factory provided a commercial insurance to 29 employees valid from September 9 2018 to September 8 2019. Factory provided another commercial insurance to 10 employees valid from May 19 2019 to May 18 2020.						
Working Hours No.1	If was noted that 19 out of 30 sample population employees worked in excess of the statutory overtime hour limits.  A review of 30 sample population employees' time records (10 samples from the most recent paid month June 2019, 10 samples from February 2019, 10 samples from October 2018) yielded the following:  10 out of 10 sample population employees worked in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. 40 to 62 hours) in June 2019, which was not in compliance with the legal requirement; 9 out of 10 sample population employees worked in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. 56 hours) in October 2018, which was not in compliance with the legal requirement.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that factory management adopt practices and controls to ensure that employee overtime hours do not exceed the statutory limits.	60 days	Follow-up	Yes. Admin manager	



				3 2

Corrective Action Plan – Observations					
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	<b>Details of Observation</b> Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)	
Nil					

Good examples				
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments		
Nil				



#### Sedex Audit Reference:

# Confirmation

A: Site Representative Signature:		Title Admin manager
		Date July 31 2019
B: Auditor Signature:	Nicole Xiong	Title Auditor
		Date July 31 2019
D: I dispute the following numbered non Nil	-compliances:	T
E: Signed: (If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)	Nil	Title Nil Date Nil
F: Any other site Comments: Nil		

Sedex Audit Reference

# **Guidance on Root Cause**

# **Explanation of the Root Cause Column**

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

## Some examples of finding a "root cause"

# Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

### Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

## Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.



For more information visit: Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw\_3d\_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY\_2brg\_3d\_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP